

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Revn. No. 2285 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION : 09.11.2006

Shyamo Devi

.... PETITIONER

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

Petitioner Shyamo Devi, who is complainant has filed this revision petition against the order dated 13.9.2006, passed by Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar, whereby charge under Section 304 read with Section 34 IPC has been ordered to be framed against the accused (respondents No.2 to 4 herein).

Counsel for the petitioner contends that keeping in view the fact that the deceased died due to the injuries inflicted by the accused, charge under Section 302 IPC should have been framed.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner and going through the impugned order, I do not find any substance in the contention of counsel for the petitioner, as the deceased died after about one year and seven months of the alleged incident and as per the medical opinion, the cause of death is septicemia shock consequent upon infected bed sores which were caused by the prolonged bed ridden state which was induced by the spinal cord injury. Thus, it appears that the deceased was not properly taken care of. I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

November 09, 2006
ndj

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE